

## United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,566	06/21/2001	Gordon James Smith	ROC920000206US1 (1210.103	6626	
75	90 01/17/2003				
Dicke, Billig & Czaja, P.A. 701 Building, Suite 1250 701 Fourth Avenue South			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MORGAN, EILEEN P		
Minneapolis, M	N 33413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/886,566

Applicant(s)

Smith

Examiner

Morgan

Art Unit **3723** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	988			
	or Reply						
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MOI a application to become A	ITHS from the mailing date of this common BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 29, 20	002					
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   ✓ This action	on is non-final.	J				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>		is/are pending in the	application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>15-20</u>		is/are withdrawn fr	rom consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-10 and 14		is/are rejected				
7) 💢	Claim(s) <u>11-13</u>	- Mari	is/are objected	l to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are su	oject to restriction and/or ele	ction requirement.			
Application Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	$\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapprov	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	Stage			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
•		priority under 35	0.0.0. 33 120 ana/or 121.				
Attachm  1) X No	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)2	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1-10,14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith-6,493,184.

Smith discloses burnishing a rear pad of a slider by imparting a positive camber in the rear pad.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 11-13 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3723

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Morgan whose telephone number is (703) 308-1743.

EILEEN P. MORGAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

EM

January 13, 2003